



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,055	09/05/2003	Debbie Yaver	10322.200-US 8946	
25907 NOVOZYMES	7590 06/01/200 S INC		EXAMINER	
1445 DREW A	AVE	·	HINES, JANA A	
DAVIS, CA 95			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/656,055	YAVER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ja-Na Hines	1645	,

*	Ja-Na Hines	1645	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 May 2007</u> . A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: 27. Claim(s) rejected: 1,11,27,34,36,42,43 and 80-93. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North date of the	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S n of the status of the claims after e	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ntry is below or attacl	ils to provide a 1). ned.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

The proposed after final amendment will not be entered because the amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The new issues are drawn to the method of determination now requiring the plurality of nucleic acid sequences to be contained on a substrate. The proposed after final amendments are now drawn to the difference in expression levels to be at least about 10% or greater. Previously, the claims did not have said limitations. Furthermore, the amendments are not deemed to place the application in better from for appeal by materially reducing the issues. Therefore the proposed after final amendments will not be entered.

Therefore, in view of the proposed amendment not being entered; the rejections of record are maintained for reasons already of record.

The written description rejection of claims 80-93 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is maintained. The rejection of claims 80-81 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter is maintained. The rejection of claims 1, 11, 27, 34, 36, 42 and 43 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is maintained.

The rejection of claims 1, 11, 27, 34, 36 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al., in view of Kunst et al. is maintained.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED